

Filed by: Trial Section Merits Panel  
Mail Stop INTERFERENCE  
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
Tel: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-272-0043

Paper No. 29  
Entered: November 24, 2006

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

JONATHAN TIEN  
Junior Party  
(Patent 5,662,105)<sup>1</sup>

v.

MOHAMED K. DIAB, ESMAIEL KIANI-AZRBAY JANY,  
IBRAHIM M. ELFADEL, REX J. McCARTHY,  
WALTER M. WEBER and ROBERT A. SMITH  
Senior Party  
(Application 09/144,897)<sup>2</sup>

Patent Interference No. 105,472

Before LEE, LANE and MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

1  
2

**Judgment -- Request for Adverse -- Bd. R. 127(b)**

<sup>1</sup> Based on Application 08/442,834, filed May 17, 1995. The real party in interest is SpaceLabs Medical, Inc., SpaceLabs Healthcare, Inc., and OSI Systems, Inc.

<sup>2</sup> Filed September 1, 1998. The real party in interest is Masimo Corporation and Comerica Bank-California. Accorded the benefit of Application 08/859,837, filed May 16, 1997; Application 08/320,154, filed October 7, 1994; and Application 08/132,812, filed October 6, 1993.

Interference No. 105,472  
Tien v. Diab

1           On October 31, 2006, junior party conceded priority and requested entry of adverse  
2 judgment with respect to the subject matter of Count 1. (Paper No. 28) In a telephone  
3 conference call held on November 14, 2006, counsel for party Tien indicated that Tien is no  
4 longer interested in filing a motion for no interference-in-fact and a motion to designate its  
5 claims 1-9, 13 and 16 as not corresponding to the count. The request is granted.

6           It is

7           **ORDERED** that judgment on priority as to the subject matter of Count 1 is herein  
8 entered against junior party JONATHAN TIEN;

9           **FURTHER ORDERED** that junior party JONATHAN TIEN is not entitled to claims  
10 1-20 of its involved Patent No. 5,662,105;

11           **FURTHER ORDERED** that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties should note  
12 the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and Bd. Rule 205; and

13           **FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this judgment be placed in the respective  
14 involved application or patent of the parties.

/ss/ Jameson Lee  
JAMESON LEE  
Administrative Patent Judge

/ss/ Sally G. Lane  
SALLY G. LANE  
Administrative Patent Judge

/ss/ James T. Moore  
JAMES T. MOORE  
Administrative Patent Judge

Interference No. 105,472  
Tien v. Diab

By Electronic Transmission:

Attorney for Party Tien:

Paul T. Meiklejohn  
Edward W. Bulchis  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3400  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
[Meiklejohn.Paul@Dorsey.com](mailto:Meiklejohn.Paul@Dorsey.com)  
[Bulchis.Ed@Dorsey.com](mailto:Bulchis.Ed@Dorsey.com)

Attorney for Party Diab:

Brenton R. Babcock  
Irfan A. Lateef  
Salima A. Merani  
Jarom D. Kesler  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR  
[brent.babcock@kmob.com](mailto:brent.babcock@kmob.com)  
[irfan.lateef@kmob.com](mailto:irfan.lateef@kmob.com)  
[salima.merani@kmob.com](mailto:salima.merani@kmob.com)  
[jarom.kesler@kmob.com](mailto:jarom.kesler@kmob.com)